

PAUL CAMILLERI & ASSOCIATES

ARCHITECTS, CIVIL AND STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS

127, Archbishop Street, Valletta, VLT 1444, Maita | tel:(+356) 21 224 889 / (+356) 21 238 876 fax:(+356) 21 238 732 | email:perit@paulcamilleri.eu | web:www.paulcamilleri.eu

19th August 2021

ENVIRONMENT AND PLANNING

REVIEW TRIBUNAL

ST. FRANCIS DITCH

FLORIANA

Our Ref: miscT1001 File Ref: G-733

Environment & Planning Review Tribunal, Block B, St. Francis Ditch, Floriana.

Attention: Mr. Joseph Borg

Chairperson, EPRT

Dear Mr. Borg,

Application No: PA/04848/20

Location: 11, Pjazza Balluta, San Giljan

Proposal: Removal of fill from existing car service pit and excavation of the rest of the garage

to accommodate a proprietary 3-tier parking stacking system

APPEAL AGAINST REFUSAL

I refer to the above-mentioned application, a refusal for which was issued on the 21st. July 2021 (attached herewith). The refusal was based on the fact that the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage (SCH) did not grant its 'no objection' to the proposed works.

I am submitting this appeal against refusal based on the following reasons:

- This site had already been subject to an identical application, PA/00040/16, which was approved by the EPC following the 'no objection' by SCH (PA/00040/16/38a).
- Unfortunately, because of non-compliance by the applicant with the 'post-decision requirements', only a non-executable
 permit was issued and the permit subsequently lapsed.
- As a result the above-mentioned application, PA/04848/20 was submitted on the 24th. June 2020
- Inexplicably the SCH decided differently for this application and did not grant its 'no objection' to the proposed works; despite
 these works being identical to those approved PA/00040/16.
- The work consists in the removal of material, with the possibility of excavation in rock (one would only definitively know, once the loose fill is removed), to accommodate a 3-tier proprietary stacked parking machinery in order to maximise the parking capacity of this garage in area notorious for its shortfall in on-street parking spaces.
- As such, the issue is not, strictly speaking, one directly related to altering the façade or nature of a scheduled building, but
 one related to the fear of possible damage to the building caused by the proposed works.
- The undersigned is an Architect/Structural Engineer with 43 years experience in many projects, including projects much more complicated than this one.
- I had submitted the same Method Statement in both applications and can confirm that, with the right construction/excavation methodology and the adopting of precautionary measures, the risk of damage is very minimal.
- Furthermore, the garage within which the proposed works will be carried out, underlies a terrace and not the main structure of Balluta Buildings.

Page 1 of 2



I trust that the foregoing observations are to your satisfaction and EPRT may consider overtaking the decision and granting the application.

Yours sincerely,



cc. Mr. Godwin Pullicino

Encl:

Appeal fee payment confirmation Refusal dated 21.07.2021 Site plan PA/00040/16/66a non-executable permit PA/00040/16/38a - SCH no-objection

 \dot{M}