



ARCHDESIGNMALTA

ARCHITECTS . CIVIL ENGINEERS . DESIGN & COST CONSULTANTS

The Hon. Chairperson
 Environment and Planning Review Tribunal
 Block B, St. Francis Ditch,
 Floriana

ENVIRONMENT AND PLANNING
 REVIEW TRIBUNAL

RECEIVED
 10 JUN 2022

ST. FRANCIS DITCH
 FLORIANA

09 June 2022

Application Number: PA/07234/19
 Location: Site at, Ta' Wied Biljun, Qala
 Proposal: To sanction construction of a tool room

In terms of Article 13 of the Environment and Planning Review Tribunal Act, 2016 we hereby submit request for to the Hon. Environment and Planning Review Tribunal.

Reason for Refusal 1.

The sanctioning of the existing store runs counter to all the provisions of policy 2.5A of the Rural Policy & Design Guidance (RPDG) 2014 as agricultural stores are only intended for genuine farmers. It therefore also runs counter to the Thematic Objective 1.10 of the Strategic Plan for Environment & Development which only allows for rural development which is legitimate or necessary Furthermore, no proof of arable farming submitted to justify the illegalities affected The proposal is therefore also runs counter to Rural Objective 3 of the Strategic Plan for Environment and Development 2015. Besides the proposal has not been endorsed by both the Agriculture Advisory Committee and the Environment and Resources Authority

Reason for Refusal 2.

The sanctioning of the existing store runs counter to all the provisions of policy 2.5A of the Rural Policy & Design Guidance (RPDG) 2014 as agricultural stores are only intended for genuine farmers. It therefore also runs counter to the Thematic

Objective 1.10 of the Strategic Plan for Environment & Development which only allows for rural development which is legitimate or necessary Furthermore, no proof of arable farming submitted to justify the illegalities affected The proposal is therefore also runs counter to Rural Objective 3 of the Strategic Plan for Environment and Development 2015. Besides the proposal has not been endorsed by both the Agriculture Advisory Committee and the Environment and Resources Authority

Counter Argument

The Hon Chairperson & Members of the Environment and Planning Review Tribunal, may wish to note that

1. The concrete paving surrounding the same room was marked on a demolition plan submitted at DOC 299b.
2. The second room was also marked for demolition on demolition DOC 303a and is part of land parcel ID 695827 DOC 243b
3. The applicant is in possession of 4 tumoli of land as conformed by the AAC in their no objection DOC 261a, this is a very simple application with the sanctioning of a 15sq.m structure and demolition of a derelict room traditionally used for bird trapping.

For the reasons listed we respectfully request the overturning of the reason for refusal on grounds that counter to all the provisions of policy 2.5A of the Rural Policy & Design Guidance (RPDG) 2014

List of Witnesses:

- All who can confirm the evidence presented
- Planning Authority Representative
- Officers representing the Consultees Listed by the Planning Authority
- Other ententive Representative to confirm various submissions

Regards,

Simon Spiteri