



164

Samuel Formosa

B.E. & A.(Hons), M.Sc.Arch.(Lond), M.Sc. (Env.Pln.& Mgt.), A.&C.E.

Architect, Interior Designer, Planning Consultant, Civil Engineer  
18, Triq Turu Lentini, Hamrun, HMR 02, Malta Tel: 21228880 Fax::21228881 Mob: 9949 0191 E-mail:samfor@maltanet.net

Our Reference: 19139-6

Date: 10<sup>th</sup> June 2022

**Applicant:** Mr. Alexander Attard  
**Location:** Shopinn, Triq iz-Zakak, Triq il-Kultellazz, Mosta  
**Case Number:** PA 1891/20

The Secretary,  
Environmental and Planning Review Tribunal,  
St Francis Ravelin,  
Floriana.

**Re: Appeal to PA 1891/20**



Dear Secretary,

My client Mr. Alexander Attard and myself would like to appeal the refusal of the decision re PA 1891/20, published on 18<sup>th</sup> May 2022 and which concerns a development located at Shopinn, Triq iz-Zakak, Triq il-Kultellazz, Mosta. The submitted application was for the following development;

*'Addition of floor for storage over existing Class 4B retail outlet (mini-market)'*

Further to this PA board refusal re PA 1891/20, my client and the undersigned would like to appeal the refusal of this decision in view of the following reasons and submissions:

### 1. Issued permit

Application PA 1891 / 20 had been decided favourably by the EPC Commission subject to the following conditions :

1. Remove all existing front garden structure.
2. Relocate AC units ar roof level
3. Reduce of signs from façade

However, my client had pointed out that since the premises is a local shop catering for the locality and is in operation on a daily basis, the structure within the front garden is presently used as the

necessary storage area for the products / stock being retailed. Hence due to the Covid pandemic, it was rather difficult and inconvenient to remove this structure and relocate the air condition units in the back elevation to the roof within a short time stipulated by the EPC Commission. My client has confirmed that he has started removing the storage within the structure in the front garden and eventually the structure itself in order to comply with the PA requirements. In fact the additional floor was requested to relocate the storage in the front garden structure as well as relocating the AC units at roof level and removing of the signs .

In view of the above considerations and the present economic situation, my client had asked for another extension in the time period to carry out the requested works by the EPC Commission. This was not accepted by the Commission and a dismissal issued.

Together with this appeal submission, attached please find copies of the following documents;

- a) Appeal Submission
- b) Appeal Fees
- c) Copy of Site Plan

In view of the above, my client sincerely hopes that the decision is overturned by the Tribunal and the appellant is granted the permit once again and given an appropriate time frame to carry out the works requested.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Formosa' with a stylized flourish at the end.

Samuel Formosa

B.E.&A.(Hons), M.Sc. Arch.(Lond), M.Sc.(Env.Pln.& Mgt.), A.&C.E.